



The RPI Report

rpiratings.com rpiratings.com rpiratings.com rpiratings.com rpiratings.com

March 4, 2013

Vol. 22, No. 13

Ranking Brackets predict at-large teams very well through time

Say what you will about the RPI, but one thing is certain. The RPI is very good at predicting which teams will make, and will not make, the NCAA tournament. No, the RPI is not perfect. But if you want a starting point from which to decide on your own which teams will make the tournament, CBN's Ranking Brackets, which are presented here for the 15th time, is the place to start.

Reading the left portion of the table shown below is straightforward. For example, in 2010, there were 2 automatic qualifiers, and 7 at-large teams, in the Ranking Bracket from No. 31 through 40. In 2011, there were 3 automatic qualifiers and 3 at-large teams in the ranking bracket from No. 51 through 60.

Ranking Bracket data in the right-hand portion of the chart might seem confusing but is understandable via this example. Using the No. 31 through 40 Ranking Bracket, from 1991 through 2012 there were 47 automatic and 145 at-large teams in the tournament. That totals 192 teams, out of a possible 220 teams in these 22 tournaments, showing that 87.3% of teams in the 31-40 RPI Ranking Bracket made the tournament. When only at-large teams are considered for the No. 31-40 Ranking Bracket, 145 at-large teams out of a potential 173 at-large teams received NCAA tournament bids, meaning that 83.8% of the potential at-large teams made the tournament. Put another way, 28 out of 173 teams (16.2%) in the 31-40 Ranking Bracket did not make the tournament.

The bottom line on the chart shows that 336 teams which ranked below (worse than) No. 60 in the RPI were automatic qualifiers, and just 13 teams made the tournament at-large. That means 50% of the automatic bids since 1991 were ranked below No. 60 in the RPI.

RPI Ranking Brackets, End Regular Season 2006-2012; and Totals and Percentages of NCAA Tournament Teams, 1991-2012

No. Automatic/At-Large NCAA Bids per year , 2006 - 2012								Totals and Percentages include data from 1991 through 2012									
RPI	Ranking	Bracket	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total Auto	Total @Large	Tot. in Bracket	Total Inelig	Total Avail	Pct. of all Avail	Tot of @Large Avail	Pct. of @Large Avail
		1 - 30	@11/17	@9/20	10/20	10/20	10/20	9/21	10/20	198	451	649	7	653	99.4	455	99.1
		31 - 40	0/8	0/7	2/6	1/7	2/7	3/6	3/7	47	145	192	-	220	87.3	173	83.8
		41 - 50	2/5	2/3	1/5	2/4	2/5	1/5	2/7	39	103	142	-	220	64.5	181	56.9
		51 - 60	3/4	1/3	1/3	2/2	3/1	3/3	2/3	49	45	94	1	219	42.9	170	26.5
		> 60	15/0	19/1	17/0	16/1	14/1	15/2	14/0	336	13	349	-	NA	NA	NA	NA

* Ineligible teams: 3 top 30 RPI teams and 1 51-60 RPI team in 1991; and 1 top 30 RPI team in 1992, 1993, 1997, and 2003.

@ Oklahoma ranked No. 30 in 1993, Missouri St. ranked No. 21 and Hofstra ranked No. 30 in 2006, and Air Force ranked No. 30 in 2007, but were not invited to the NCAA tournament.

The difference between the percentages of only the *at-large* teams that have historically made the tournament, and the percentages of *all* teams that have historically made the tournament within those ranking bracket categories, as shown in the chart, may also seem confusing. However, teams that have automatic bids are in the tournament no matter if their RPI rank is No. 37 or 137.

Keep a couple of things in mind regarding the ranking bracket categories in the following chart. First, half of the automatic bids, from 1991 through 2012, ranked below (worse than) No. 60 in the RPI. The second is that 2 teams per year have been at-large selections in the 51-60 ranking bracket in the 2000's, but the average for the last 3 years is one per year. Since there are now three additional at-large teams in the tournament with the expansion to 68

teams in 2011, the percentage of all teams of making the tournament will almost certainly increase the average number of teams in the 51-60 ranking bracket in the future. Here is the simplified version of the chances of teams making the tournament at-large from 1991-2012:

RPI Rank	Chance of Making Tournament At-Large
1-30	Near Lock
31-40	Excellent
41-50	Decent
51-60	Not-So-Good to Fair
>60	Poor: 13 @-large since 1991

Note: 322 of the 638 automatic bids (50.5%) since 1991 were ranked below No. 60 in the RPI

KEY TO THE RPI REPORT COLUMNS

ALL GAMES RPI INFORMATION

RPI Rank - RPI rank as of date listed
RPI LW - RPI Rank 1 week ago
CH - Change in RPI Rank from 1 week ago
NDI W-L - Non-Division I wins and losses
Div I W-L - Division I wins and losses
W% -Rk - Division I winning percentage and rank
FII -Rk - Schedule strength and rank
AvRPI ofOPP - Average RPI rank of opponents played (another way to measure schedule strength)
F3 Rk - Factor III rank, the teams' opponents' opponents' D-I winning percentage (the teams' opponents' schedule strength)
RPI - RPI rating which is how the list is sorted numerically
Conference Rk - Teams' conference rank
Conference W-L - Teams' conference record
RdA - Teams' conference road advantage (conference road wins minus conference home losses)

NON-CONFERENCE RPI INFORMATION

NC W-L - Teams' non-conference wins and losses
NC Rd W-L - Teams' non-conference road wins and losses
NonConf Sstr-Rk - Teams' non-conference schedule strength and rank
NC RPI -Rk - Teams' non-conference RPI and rank

RECORD VS TEAMS RANKED - Teams' won-loss record vs. teams in those RPI ranking categories

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

Home W-L - Teams' all games home wins and losses record
Road W-L - Teams' all games road wins and losses record
Ntl W-L - Teams' all games neutral wins and losses record
Last 12 - Number of wins in last 12 games
St - Teams' current winning or losing streak

SCORING DATA (ALTERNATES EVERY 3 WEEKS)

Off Avg - Teams' offensive scoring average
Def Avg - Teams' defensive scoring average
Scor Marg - Teams' scoring margin

OPPONENTS' W-L DATA (ALTERNATES EVERY 3 WEEKS)

Opponents' W-L - Opponents' total W's and L's
Opponents' % - Opponents' W-L percentage

STREAKS DATA (ALTERNATES EVERY 3 WEEKS)

Best NC - Best (Longest) non-conference winning streak
Best Con - Best (Longest) conference winning streak
Overall Bst - Best (Longest) overall winning streak
Overall Wst - Worst (longest) overall losing streak
Cur - Current winning or losing streak

CLOSE GAMES

Close Losses - Number of games a team has lost by 1, 2, and 3 points (1-2-3)
OT W-L - Teams' record in overtime games

KEY TO CHANCES OF BEING AN AT-LARGE NCAA TOURNAMENT SELECTION IN VARIOUS NON-RPI CATEGORIES

This list appears in the **Report** around the first of February. "OK" denotes the team has, in general, met the standard for the given column, based on past at-large tournament selections. For NDI games, a "69.8% had 0" means that 69.8% of at-large teams played no non-Division I games; "OK means they played no such games. For D-I wins, "69.4% had more wins" means that 69.4% of at-large teams had more wins than the team in question, and so on. The RPI Ranking Bracket column gives the percentage of at-large teams within the ranking bracket that were selected for the tournament.

The RPI Report is published weekly in season, 17 issues per year. Copyright © 2013 by Collegiate Basketball News Company. All Rights Reserved. Jim Sukup - Publisher and Editor. 5734 Fall Creek Road, Indianapolis, Indiana 46220-5025. Phone/Fax: (317) 255-0749; Web: www.rpiratings.com; E-mail: rpi@rpiratings.com. \$250.00 per year via internet access. Any reproduction, by photocopy, fax, or other form, in whole or part, is prohibited except by written consent. Unauthorized reproduction and/or distribution will result in immediate cancellation. The Rating Percentage Index has been used since 1981 to help select at-large teams and seed all teams for the men's NCAA basketball tournament. Data herein duplicates the RPI without input from the NCAA. The three RPI components are: Factor I (25 % of the RPI) is the team's weighted Division I (D-I) winning percentage, excluding non-Division I opponents. Factor II (50 %) is the team's opponents' D-I winning percentage (schedule strength) excluding games played against the team in question. Factor III (25 %) is the team's opponents' opponents' D-I winning percentage (the teams' opponents' schedule strength). The weighted RPI formula is based upon the home, neutral, or road location of the game.

TEAM ALPHABETICAL RPI RANK THROUGH GAMES OF SUNDAY, MARCH 3, 2013

Team	Rank	Team	Rank	Team	Rank	Team	Rank	Team	Rank	Team	Rank
Air Force	85	Eastern Wash.	324	Massachusetts	54	Rider	135	USC Upstate	227	Utah	187
Akron	46	Elon	177	McNeese St.	247	Robert Morris	114	Utah St.	97	Utah Valley	291
Alabama	60	Evansville	101	Md.-East. Shore	343	Rutgers	108	UW-Green Bay	165	Valparaiso	62
Alabama A&M	329	Fairfield	176	Memphis	19	Sacramento St.	287	Vanderbilt	132	Va. Commonwealth	31
Alabama St.	322	Fair. Dickinson	298	Mercer	133	Sacred Heart	246	VMCI	310	Vermont	129
Albany	163	Fla. Gulf Coast	109	Miami-FL	3	Saint Joseph's	87	Villanova	55	Virginia	64
Alcorn St.	307	Florida	6	Miami-OH	278	Saint Louis	28	Virginia Tech	170	Virginia Tech	170
American	260	Florida Atlantic	209	Michigan	10	Sam Houston St.	258	Wake Forest	168	Western Carolina	251
Appalachian St.	267	Florida A&M	332	Michigan St.	8	Samford	281	Washington	82	West Virginia	113
Arizona	13	Florida Int.	138	Middle Tennessee	26	San Diego	182	Washington St.	192	Western Kentucky	178
Arizona St.	94	Florida St.	88	Minnesota	16	San Diego St.	32	Weber St.	90	Western Michigan	112
Arkansas	80	Fordham	241	Mississippi	58	San Francisco	154	Wichita St.	40	Western Illinois	124
Arkansas St.	155	Fresno St.	153	Mississippi St.	226	San Jose St.	229	William & Mary	262	Western Kentucky	178
UALR	161	Furman	342	Mississippi Val.	345	Santa Clara	93	Winthrop	273	Wisconsin	41
Ark.-Pine Bluff	202	Gardner-Webb	183	Missouri	33	Savannah St.	137	Wofford	238	Wright St.	162
Army	214	George Mason	156	Missouri St.	211	SE Missouri St.	221	Wyoming	67	Xavier	92
Auburn	228	Georgetown	11	Monmouth	276	Seattle	285	Yale	208	Youngstown St.	189
Austin Peay	318	Georgia	128	Montana	100	Seton Hall	116	Youngstown St.	189	Zips	180
Ball St.	233	Georgia Southern	279	Montana St.	315	Siena	299				
Baylor	61	Georgia St.	212	Morehead St.	173	SIU-Edwardsville	303				
Belmont	23	Georgia Tech	139	Morgan St.	263	SMU	225				
Bethune-Cookman	280	Geo. Washington	145	Mount St. Mary's	151	South Alabama	147				
Binghamton	339	Gonzaga	9	Murray St.	131	South Carolina	204				
Boise St.	44	Grambling St.	347	Navy	314	South Dakota	243				
Boston College	130	Hampton	282	Nebraska	106	South Dakota St.	74				
Boston U.	148	Hartford	174	Nebraska-Omaha	289	South Florida	141				
Bowling Green	256	Harvard	98	Nevada	166	Southeastern La.	234				
Bradley	180	Hawaii	201	New Hampshire	293	Southern Cal	89				
Brown	232	High Point	248	New Jersey Tech	294	Southern Ill.	179				
Bryant	143	Hofstra	321	New Mexico	2	Southern Miss	34				
Bucknell	52	Holy Cross	249	New Mexico St.	68	Southern Utah	302				
Buffalo	218	Houston	200	New Orleans	346	Southern U.	185				
Butler	29	Houston Baptist	297	Niagara	122	Stanford	72				
BYU	59	Howard	334	Nicholls St.	284	Stephen F.Austin	63				
Cal Poly	160	Idaho	193	Norfolk St.	171	Stetson	220				
California	43	Idaho St.	337	North Carolina	20	Stony Brook	69				
Campbell	295	Illinois	35	North Dakota	230	St. Bonaventure	105				
Canisius	110	Illinois St.	123	North Dakota St.	77	St. Francis NY	210				
Central Arkansas	292	Illinois-Chicago	164	North Florida	223	St. Francis PA	316				
Central Michigan	271	Indiana	7	North Texas	237	St. John's	71				
Cent. Conn. St.	195	Indiana St.	79	Northeastern	159	St. Mary's	37				
Charleston So.	188	Iona	119	Northern Arizona	257	St. Peter's	288				
Charlotte	81	Iowa	86	Northern Colo.	277	Syracuse	15				
Chattanooga	274	Iowa St.	53	Northern Ill.	328	S.C. St.	344				
Chicago St.	331	IPFW	264	Northern Iowa	75	TCU	242				
Cincinnati	50	IUPUI	326	Northern Kent.	268	Temple	42				
Clemson	169	Jackson St.	309	Northwestern	142	Tennessee	56				
Cleveland St.	194	Jacksonville	266	Notre Dame	47	Tennessee St.	107				
Coastal Carolina	245	Jacksonville St.	144	N.C. A&T	269	Tennessee Tech	235				
Colgate	254	James Madison	205	N.C. Central	149	Tennessee-Martin	306				
Colorado	27	Kansas	4	N.C. St.	24	Texas	126				
Colorado St.	17	Kansas St.	18	Oakland	134	Texas A&M	84				
Columbia	265	Kennesaw St.	341	Ohio	73	Texas A&M-CC	325				
Col. Charleston	150	Kent St.	167	Ohio St.	21	Texas Southern	181				
Connecticut	39	Kentucky	51	Oklahoma	22	Texas St.	296				
Coppin St.	308	La Salle	45	Oklahoma St.	25	Texas Tech	224				
Cornell	240	Lafayette	172	Old Dominion	317	Texas-Arlington	136				
Creighton	36	Lamar	333	Oral Roberts	140	Texas-Pan Am.	311				
CS Bakersfield	259	La.-Lafayette	231	Oregon	49	Texas-San Ant.	290				
CS Fullerton	253	Lehigh	103	Oregon St.	186	The Citadel	327				
CS Northridge	250	Liberty	323	Pacific	121	Toledo	197				
Dartmouth	305	Lipscomb	239	Penn St.	184	Towson	158				
Davidson	76	Long Beach St.	118	Pennsylvania	283	Troy	275				
Dayton	104	Long Island	198	Pepperdine	207	Tulane	175				
Delaware	127	Longwood	335	Pittsburgh	38	Tulsa	125				
Delaware St.	252	Louisiana Tech	48	Portland	222	UAB	146				
Denver	65	Louisiana-Monroe	301	Portland St.	340	UC Davis	215				
DePaul	196	Louisville	5	Prairie View	320	UC Irvine	157				
Detroit	57	Loyola Marymount	255	Presbyterian	338	UC Riverside	336				
Drake	152	Loyola-Chicago	219	Princeton	115	UC Santa Barbara	236				
Drexel	206	Loyola-Maryland	96	Providence	78	UCF	111				
Duke	1	LSU	91	Purdue	117	UCLA	30				
Duquesne	217	Maine	272	Quinnipiac	190	UMBC	313				
East Carolina	99	Manhattan	199	Radford	312	UMKC	286				
East Tenn. St.	261	Marist	244	Rhode Island	191	UNC Asheville	203				
Eastern Illinois	270	Marquette	12	Rice	319	UNC Greensboro	330				
Eastern Kentucky	66	Marshall	213	Richmond	83	UNC Wilmington	300				
Eastern Michigan	216	Maryland	70			UNLV	14				

CHANCES OF BEING AN AT-LARGE NCAA TOURNAMENT SELECTION IN VARIOUS NON-RPI CATEGORIES - THROUGH GAMES OF SUNDAY, MARCH 3, 2013

RPI Rank	Team	NDI Games	D-I Wins	D-I Losses	L12 Games Record	Schedule Strength Rank	RPI Ranking Bracket Category
1	Duke	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
2	New Mexico	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
3	Miami-FL	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
4	Kansas	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
5	Louisville	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
6	Florida	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
7	Indiana	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
8	Michigan St.	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
9	Gonzaga	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	75.4% above 75	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
10	Michigan	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
11	Georgetown	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
12	Marquette	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
13	Arizona	OK	OK	OK	59.7% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
14	UNLV	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
15	Syracuse	OK	OK	OK	81.3% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
16	Minnesota	OK	OK	OK	94.9% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
17	Colorado St.	93.4% had fewer	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
18	Kansas St.	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
19	Memphis	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
20	North Carolina	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
21	Ohio St.	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
22	Oklahoma	OK	75.6% had more	OK	59.7% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
23	Belmont	93.4% had fewer	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
24	N.C. St.	OK	OK	OK	59.7% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
25	Oklahoma St.	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
26	Middle Tennessee	OK	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
27	Colorado	OK	75.6% had more	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
28	Saint Louis	OK	OK	OK	OK	75.4% above 75	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
29	Butler	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	59.7% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
30	UCLA	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	99.1% ranked 1-30 in
31	Va. Commonwealth	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
32	San Diego St.	93.4% had fewer	86.8% had more	OK	81.3% had better	OK	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
33	Missouri	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
34	Southern Miss	93.4% had fewer	OK	OK	OK	OK	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
35	Illinois	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	59.7% had better	OK	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
36	Creighton	OK	OK	OK	59.7% had better	75.4% above 75	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
37	St. Mary's	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
38	Pittsburgh	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
39	Connecticut	OK	75.6% had more	OK	59.7% had better	OK	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
40	Wichita St.	OK	OK	OK	59.7% had better	75.4% above 75	83.8% ranked 31-40 in
41	Wisconsin	OK	OK	OK	59.7% had better	OK	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
42	Temple	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
43	California	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
44	Boise St.	93.4% had fewer	86.8% had more	OK	59.7% had better	75.4% above 75	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
45	La Salle	OK	OK	OK	OK	75.4% above 75	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
46	Akron	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
47	Notre Dame	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	75.4% above 75	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
48	Louisiana Tech	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
49	Oregon	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	89.6% above 100	56.9% ranked 41-50 in
50	Cincinnati	OK	OK	OK	81.3% had better	OK	56.9% ranked 41-50 in

RPI Rank	Team	NDI Games	D-I Wins	D-I Losses	L12 Games Record	Schedule Strength Rank	RPI Ranking Bracket Category
51	Kentucky	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	OK	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
52	Bucknell	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	26.5% ranked 51-60 in	
53	Iowa St.	OK	75.6% had more	OK	81.3% had better	OK	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
54	Massachusetts	OK	86.8% had more	OK	59.7% had better	75.4% above 75	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
55	Villanova	70.5% had 0	94.2% had more	90.2% had fewer	59.7% had better	OK	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
56	Tennessee	OK	94.2% had more	78.3% had fewer	36.0% had better	OK	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
57	Detroit	93.4% had fewer	86.8% had more	78.3% had fewer	36.0% had better	75.4% above 75	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
58	Mississippi	OK	OK	OK	81.3% had better	89.6% above 100	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
59	BYU	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	59.7% had better	75.4% above 75	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
60	Alabama	70.5% had 0	86.8% had more	OK	36.0% had better	75.4% above 75	26.5% ranked 51-60 in
61	Baylor	70.5% had 0	98.3% had more	90.2% had fewer	94.9% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
62	Valparaiso	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91	
63	Stephen F.Austin	93.4% had fewer	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91	
64	Virginia	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
65	Denver	OK	75.6% had more	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
66	Eastern Kentucky	93.4% had fewer	OK	OK	36.0% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
67	Wyoming	93.4% had fewer	98.3% had more	78.3% had fewer	98.3% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
68	New Mexico St.	70.5% had 0	86.8% had more	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
69	Stony Brook	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
70	Maryland	OK	OK	OK	81.3% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
71	St. John's	OK	98.3% had more	90.2% had fewer	59.7% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
72	Stanford	OK	94.2% had more	96.6% had fewer	81.3% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
73	Ohio	OK	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
74	South Dakota St.	93.4% had fewer	75.6% had more	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
75	Northern Iowa	70.5% had 0	94.2% had more	96.6% had fewer	36.0% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
76	Davidson	70.5% had 0	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
77	North Dakota St.	93.4% had fewer	OK	OK	59.7% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
78	Providence	OK	98.3% had more	90.2% had fewer	59.7% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
79	Indiana St.	70.5% had 0	98.3% had more	96.6% had fewer	94.9% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
80	Arkansas	OK	86.8% had more	78.3% had fewer	59.7% had better	75.4% above 75	13 below 60 since '91
81	Charlotte	OK	86.8% had more	OK	98.3% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
82	Washington	OK	98.3% had more	96.6% had fewer	98.3% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
83	Richmond	OK	94.2% had more	90.2% had fewer	81.3% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
84	Texas A&M	OK	94.2% had more	90.2% had fewer	94.9% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
85	Air Force	93.4% had fewer	99.7% had more	78.3% had fewer	59.7% had better	75.4% above 75	13 below 60 since '91
86	Iowa	OK	86.8% had more	78.3% had fewer	81.3% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
87	Saint Joseph's	OK	98.3% had more	78.3% had fewer	59.7% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
88	Florida St.	OK	99.7% had more	99.1% had fewer	94.9% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
89	Southern Cal	OK	99.7% had more	OK	59.7% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
90	Weber St.	93.4% had fewer	OK	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
91	LSU	OK	94.2% had more	OK	36.0% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
92	Xavier	OK	98.3% had more	90.2% had fewer	81.3% had better	75.4% above 75	13 below 60 since '91
93	Santa Clara	93.4% had fewer	75.6% had more	OK	36.0% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
94	Arizona St.	OK	OK	OK	81.3% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
95	Northwestern St.	93.4% had fewer	99.7% had more	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
96	Loyola-Maryland	OK	OK	OK	36.0% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
97	Utah St.	70.5% had 0	86.8% had more	OK	94.9% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
98	Harvard	70.5% had 0	98.3% had more	OK	36.0% had better	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91
99	East Carolina	93.4% had fewer	99.7% had more	78.3% had fewer	81.3% had better	OK	13 below 60 since '91
100	Montana	93.4% had fewer	86.8% had more	OK	OK	89.6% above 100	13 below 60 since '91

Rank	LW	Ch	Western Ath.	W-L	W-L	W% -Rk	FII -Rk	F3Rk	RPI	T100	T25	-50	-100	-200	-347	Home W-L	Road W-L	Ntl W-L
14	14	0		7-0	57-53	.5163-13	.4859-27	28	.4941	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L
59	68	9	Utah St.	1-0	9-2	.8182-43	.5096-167	295	.5691	2-2	0-0	0-1	2-1	2-0	5-0	7-1	2-1	0-0
61	60	-1	Louisiana Tech	1-0	9-3	.7500-62	.4753-232	200	.5689	1-2	0-0	1-0	0-2	2-0	6-1	4-0	3-3	2-0
87	90	3	New Mexico St.	1-0	6-6	.5000-157	.5897-23	6	.5494	1-3	0-2	1-0	0-1	2-3	3-0	5-1	1-4	0-1
151	159	8	Texas-Arlington	0-0	6-5	.5455-142	.4983-196	264	.5104	0-3	0-2	0-1	0-0	0-2	6-0	2-3	4-2	0-0
156	153	-3	Denver	0-0	5-6	.4545-186	.5470-83	66	.5089	1-5	0-1	0-2	1-2	1-1	3-0	4-2	1-4	0-0
179	179	0	Idaho	1-0	4-6	.4000-209	.5326-118	182	.4953	0-3	0-1	0-1	1-3	3-0	2-2	2-3	0-1	
191	199	8	San Jose St.	1-0	5-5	.5000-157	.5120-160	347	.4873	1-2	0-1	0-0	1-1	0-1	4-2	3-2	2-2	0-1
274	274	0	Seattle	1-0	4-5	.4444-193	.4466-281	286	.4368	0-4	0-0	0-1	0-3	0-0	4-1	3-3	1-2	0-0
277	285	8	Texas-San Ant.	0-0	5-7	.4167-200	.3903-335	314	.4341	0-2	0-0	0-1	0-1	0-0	5-5	2-1	2-5	1-1
331	329	-2	Texas St.	1-0	4-8	.3333-240	.3575-344	186	.3812	0-1	0-0	0-0	0-1	0-4	4-3	2-3	1-3	1-2

Rank	LW	Ch	Independents	W-L	W-L	W% -Rk	FII -Rk	F3Rk	RPI	T100	T25	-50	-100	-200	-347	Home W-L	Road W-L	Ntl W-L
33	33	0		8-0	12-34	.2576-29	.4347-32	32	.3971	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L	W-L
267	264	-3	CS Bakersfield	4-0	8-16	.3333-240	.4758-229	273	.4446	1-8	0-2	0-2	1-4	0-1	7-7	5-4	2-11	1-1
344	345	1	New Orleans	4-0	4-18	.1818-300	.3935-333	329	.3497	0-3	0-0	0-2	0-1	0-2	4-13	4-4	0-13	0-1

